STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 63RD DISTRICT COURT (GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN)

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

v. File No. D13-4656-FY

OMAR CATARINO GONZALEZ,

Defendant.



PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN R. SERVAAS - DISTRICT JUDGE Grand Rapids, Michigan - Wednesday, November 13, 2013

APPEARANCES:

For the People: MR. KEVIN M. BRAMBLE (P53752)

KENT COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

82 Ionia Avenue, Northwest, Suite 450

Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503

(616) 632-6710

For the Defendant: MR. NICHOLAS J. BOSTIC (P40653)

909 North Washington Avenue

Lansing, Michigan 48906

(517) 706-0132

RECORDED BY:

Danelle L. Wheeler, CEO-8748

TRANSCRIBED BY:

Amanda L. Dubay, CER-5136 Official Court Recorder

(616) 632-7820

TABLE OF CONTENTS		PAGE
*		
WITNESSES: PEOPLE		
DETECTIVE DANIAL ALDERINK		
Direct Examination by Mr. Bramble Cross-Examination by Mr. Bostic Redirect Examination by Mr. Bramble		4 14 26
WITNESSES: DEFENDANT		
None		
Motion by Mr. Bramble for Bind-Over		27
Objection by Mr. Bostic to Bind-Over		27
Court's Decision to Bind Defendant Over to Circuit	Court	30
a g		
	PAGE	
EXHIBITS:	MARKED RE	ECEIVED
DX-A Search Warrant; Affidavit For Search	18	v
Warrant; Return To Search Warrant DX-B Floor Plan	21	X 23
		8- 8-

1	DETECTIVE ALDERINK: A-1-d-e-r-i-n-k.
2	THE COURT: And would you raise your right hand,
3	please? Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole
4	truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
5	DETECTIVE ALDERINK: I swear.
6	THE COURT: Have a seat, please.
7	Mr. Bramble?
8	MR. BRAMBLE: Thank you, your Honor.
9	DETECTIVE DANIAL ALDERINK
10	(At 5:00 p.m., called by the People, duly sworn by
11	the Court, testified as follows)
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. BRAMBLE:
14	Q. Mr. Alderink, are you employed?
15	A. I am.
16	Q. Where are you employed?
17	A. The Kent County Sheriff's Department, currently assigned to
18	the Kent Area Narcotics Enforcement Team.
19	Q. Your duties and responsibilities in that position?
20	A. Vice Detective and K-9 handler.
21	Q. How long have you worked in law enforcement?
22	A. I've been in law enforcement for eighteen years; seventeen
23	with the Sheriff's Department and nine and a half working
24	with KANET.
25	Q. What, again, is KANET?

- A. Kent Area Narcotics Enforcement Team.
- Q. Were you involved in processing or investigating different marijuana dispensary businesses?
- 4 A. Yes, I was.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 5 | Q. And why were you investigating them?
- A. Because medical marijuana dispensaries are illegal in the state of Michigan, and a letter was drafted up by the Prosecutor's Office for all of the dispensaries in Kent County.

MR. BOSTIC: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this testimony. Letters from the Prosecutor's Office and conclusory statements about this are not really relevant to what we're doing here today.

THE COURT: I think it sounds like they're just trying to let me know why he's even doing what he's doing.

I'm not taking it for any evidentiary purpose, but I think you may ask how he got into this -- this case.

BY MR. BRAMBLE:

- Q. You've indicated some -- a letter was drafted by the Kent County Prosecutor?
- 21 A. Yes, it was.
- 22 Q. Was it served on these various medical marijuana dispensaries?
- 24 A. Yes, it was, in March of this year, I believe, March 6th.
- 25 | Q. Specifically were you -- was one served at the HydroWorld at

6701 Old 28th Street, Southeast? 2 A. Yes, it was. 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the address again? 4 MR. BRAMBLE: 6701 --5 THE COURT: 6701? 6 MR. BRAMBLE: -- Old 28th Street. THE COURT: Okay. 7 Thank you. 8 MR. BRAMBLE: Yes. BY MR. BRAMBLE: 9 10 And is that in Cascade Township, County of Kent, State of 11 Michigan? Α. Yes, it is. 12 13 Did your investigation of, specifically, this HydroWorld end there with the presentation of this letter to them? 14 15 This letter was -- they were distributed by various members 16 of the team -- of our team, and I believe Detective Tuinhoff and Detective Sergeant Coxon served the letter at that 17 location in March. 18 19 MR. BOSTIC: Your Honor, I'm going to have to object. He's initially testified that it was served and now 20 he's just told us that he doesn't have personal knowledge. 21 So I would ask that it be stricken. 22 23 THE COURT: Again, you know, I don't think it has any real relevance as to the allegations, and I'm not 24 25 considering, you know, the fact that the Prosecutor issued a

```
letter as being any sort of proof that there's a violation.
2
                    Go ahead, Mr. Bramble.
3
                    MR. BRAMBLE: Thank you.
    BY MR. BRAMBLE:
4
5
         Did you get a search warrant for HydroWorld at 6701 Old 28th
         Street?
6
7
    A.
         Yes, I did.
8
         Why did you get a search warrant for that business?
9
         It was as a result of our strike team, within the Kent County
10
         Sheriff's Department, noticed that HydroWorld was still in
11
         business and observed the business, and two people were
12
         stopped on traffic stops leaving the business. Both subjects
         were in possession of marijuana, both stated that they had
13
14
         purchased marijuana from HydroWorld.
15
                   MR. BOSTIC: Objection, hearsay.
16
                    THE COURT: Sustained.
    BY MR. BRAMBLE:
17
18
         Did you get the search warrant?
19
    Α.
         Yes, I did.
20
    Q.
         Did you have it sworn in?
21
         Yes, I did.
22
         And who signed the search warrant?
         Magistrate Milroy.
23
         Did you execute this search warrant?
24
25
         Yes, I did.
```

THE COURT: It may do so.

DEFENDANT DANIEL TREVINO'S [CORRECTED] MOTION TO SUPPRESS-ATTACHMENT 2 - 7

(At 5:06 p.m., witness identified Defendant) 2 BY MR. BRAMBLE: 3 Well, after the Defendant -- did he refuse to let you in the 4 business? 5 Yes, he did. 6 A. Did he refuse to open the door? 7 Q. 8 Α. Yes, he did. What acts -- course of action did you take once the Defendant 9 Q. refused to allow or honor the search warrant you said you 10 11 had? I told him that if he didn't answer the door, that we would 12 have to smash it and force our way in, which we then did. 13 And can you tell Judge Servaas, what did you see once you got 14 Q. inside this business? 15 I saw various amounts of marijuana throughout the office, A. 16 some marijuana plants growing --17 MR. BOSTIC: Your Honor, I want to -- I want to 18 interpose an objection at this point. Last Friday I had 19 asked for a copy of the search warrant and the affidavit and 20 the return to be forwarded to me. It may have been left at 21 the business, but we don't have it, so I haven't seen it. I 22 realize at this point that I'm just stating an objection for 23 the record, so the Court knows that I'm not waiving any 24 challenge to any Fourth Amendment issue. 25

		·
1		MR. BOSTIC: Yes.
2		THE COURT RECORDER: Okay.
3		THE COURT: Thank you.
4		Mr. Bramble?
5		You can have a seat, Detective.
6		She'll be right back, and I don't have any
7	н	objection if you continue questioning.
8		MR. BRAMBLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	BY M	R. BRAMBLE:
10	Q.	What did you observe once you gained entry into this
11		business?
12	Α.	I observed various amounts of marijuana throughout the office
13		and some marijuana plants growing in one of the rooms. Small
14		small marijuana plants.
15	Q.	I noticed in your report that you said that you've also
16		observed and collected marijuana medibles if I'm
17		pronouncing that right, m-e-d-i-b-l-e-s what are those?
18	А.	They're marijuana food, sometimes brownies, cookies, candies
19		that are made with THC butter, generally.
20	2.	Did you collect the marijuana that you found inside them?
21	<i>A</i> .	Yes.
22	Q.	And how much was it tested, field tested?
23	Α.	Yes, it was.
24	Q.	Who field tested it?
25	Α.	I did.

```
THE COURT: Thirty-nine and forty?
                   THE WITNESS: The number of different medibles;
2
         brownies, cookies --
3
                   THE COURT: Okay. And those are the things you say
4
5
         are cookies and --
6
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
7
                   THE COURT: -- brownies and things like that?
8
                   Okay. Thank you.
    BY MR. BRAMBLE:
9
10
         To your understanding, does the marijuana there have to be
         segregated and confined in a certain way?
11
         As far as different strains?
12
    A.
13
    Q.
         How -- just how it's stored?
    A.
14
         Most dispensaries we've dealt with or people with medical
15
         marijuana, they have different strains of marijuana and keep
         it separated that way.
16
17
    Q.
         Okay. When you -- what did you do once you seized this
18
         marijuana?
19
         It was packaged, brought back to our office where I weighed
   A.
20
         it, field tested it, and sealed it up.
    Q.
         Is it stored in your evidence lockers at this point?
21
    Α.
         Yes, it is.
22
23
         Did you request the assistance of the Cascade Township Fire
24
         Department?
25
         I did.
```

Q.	Why?
A.	There was a safe that was in the office that Mr. Gonzalez
	said he did not have access to open it, and the Fire
	Department was contacted by my lieutenant who was out there
	with me that night also to cut the safe open.
Q.	And did you what did you find inside the safe?
Α.	A large amount of U.S. currency.
Q.	Did you seize that money?
Α.	Yes, I did.
Q.	Was the Defendant arrested?
A.	Yes, he was.
Q.	And where was he transported?
Α.	Kent County Jail.
Q.	And were you the one that sought the warrants on this matter?
Α.	Yes, I was.
	MR. BRAMBLE: Your Honor, I don't think I have
	anything further as this time.
	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Bostic?
	MR. BOSTIC: Thank you, your Honor.
	CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY M	R. BOSTIC:
Q.	Detective, is there any reason that you didn't honor the
	request to display the search warrant?
Α.	I explained to Mr. Gonzalez that he would see a copy of the
	search warrant once we gained entry. It's a safety issue for

Who is that?

1

DEFENDANT DANIEL TREVINO'S [CORRECTED] MOTION TO SUPPRESS-ATTACHMENT 2 - 11

Oh, that would be the -- I believe, the business owner -- or, 2 the property owner. 3 4 Q. Came after the fact to secure the door? 5 A. Yes, he did. Okay. Looking at your affidavit on page 5, did you inform 6 7 the Magistrate of the date that Mr. Mason was allegedly 8 stopped? 9 In the paragraph before that it states that Deputy Ritchie 10 and Deputy Hinds stopped people leaving on October 30th. Q. Well, we must be looking at different affidavits. Can you 11 point me to the paragraph where it says that those two 12 persons were stopped on October 30th? 13 On page 5, the third paragraph down, "Your affiant 14 15 was contacted by Deputy Ritchie and Deputy Hinds on October 30th..." 16 Okay. What I want you to do is start --17 THE COURT: Can I do this; I don't think I have a 18 copy of any of those things. Do you have any objection if we 19 20 make copies -- do you have a copy now you can refer to? MR. BOSTIC: No, but that's okay. I've got the --21 it's in here. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Danelle, would you, I'm sorry, 23 make -- make one more set of copies, if you would? 24 25 MR. BRAMBLE: I don't have a copy of it either.

of 2 also? I may have missed one, I apologize. Yes, I do have the other 2 A. 3 one here. All right. I don't need it right now. We can -- that's 4 0. 5 fine. Okay. So you have both of your original returns 6 7 now? 8 I do. 9 Okay. Now, again, if you would, please, on page 5 -- now we 10 don't need you to read it into the record, we've made it an 11 exhibit. There's two sentences in paragraph 3, correct? 12 A. Correct. The first sentence says that these two deputies notified you 13 Q. that on October 30th, HydroWorld was still open for business; 14 15 do you agree with that? 16 A. Yes. 17 The second sentence says that two traffic stops were conducted. That sentence does not contain a date, does it? 18 No, it's in the same paragraph. 19 20 I understand that, but you agree it does not contain a date? 0. 21 A. Right. 22 Okay. Do you -- did you provide the Magistrate any information other than what's in your affidavit? 23 24 No. Α. 25 No other notes, no sworn testimony, nothing?

A. No, sir. 2 Q. Okay. I want to go through the items seized. You can use 3 your return, if you want, but I'm going to be using your 4 police report for now. Item Number 1 you said was currency, 5 and I take it that this item is from the safe? 6 I believe so, yes. A. 7 Q. And that's \$5,040.00? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Can you describe for the Court, you mentioned multiple rooms, if you could, just walk us through and give us the layout of 10 the floor plan? 11 12 When you walk in the door, there's a short hallway with a 13 door and an office to the right, and if you continue straight 14 through, it opens into a bigger room with another door and 15 office to the right. And that's -- that's the floor plan? That's it? 16 0. 17 Yes. A. 18 So earlier when you said there were multiple rooms, you didn't know that when you were standing outside, correct? 19 20 A. I could see that there was a room to the right, and that the -- when you go in to the larger area, it curves to the right 21 and I couldn't see around the corner to know what else --22 what else was back there. 23 So from outside at the door, you knew there was a -- at least 24

one room, because you could see the door?

25

1	THE COURT: I'm sorry, how much was that?
2	MR. BOSTIC: \$195.00.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And that wasn't taken off
4	anybody, that was just found in the office to the right?
5	THE WITNESS: Correct.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
7	MR. BOSTIC: I have no further questions, your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10	Any other questions here, Mr. Bramble?
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. BRAMBLE:
13	Q. I just want to be clear. This execution of the search
14	warrant occurred on October 30th of 2013?
15	A. Yes.
16	MR. BRAMBLE: Okay. Nothing further, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Any other questions, Mr. Bostic?
18	MR. BOSTIC: Nothing based on that, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: You may step down, Officer. Thank you.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	(At 5:32 p.m., witness excused)
22	THE COURT: Do you have any other witnesses you
23	wish to call today, Mr. Bramble?
24	MR. BRAMBLE: No, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Bostic, any testimony from the

Defense today?

MR. BOSTIC: No evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bramble?

MR. BRAMBLE: Your Honor, at this time we'd move to bind the Defendant over on the charges set forth in the Complaint and Information.

THE COURT: Mr. Bostic?

MR. BOSTIC: Well, your Honor, I'm looking at the Complaint. It says, "Possession with intent to deliver the controlled substance marijuana." We have some very brief testimony that something in the building was field tested, but we don't know exactly what. We don't know what room it was in. We don't really know what room anything was in, except maybe that last item of money.

We don't have any testimony from this witness that Mr. Gonzalez had possession or even constructive possession. There's zero explanation for why he's on the premises. The only thing is that he asked for the search warrant to be displayed; can't hold that against him. The only other testimony that puts any words out of his mouth is that I don't have access to the safe.

So then that brings us along to manufacturing.

There's been no testimony that any marijuana was being

manufactured at all. The officer testified to what he called

22 clones, that's really not explained, it's not described,

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
) SS
COUNTY OF KENT)

I hereby certify that this transcript,

consisting of 39 pages, is a complete, true, and correct

transcript, transcribed to the best of my ability, of the

proceedings taken in this case on Wednesday, November 13, 2013.

Dated: December 6, 2013

Amanda L. Dubay, CER-5136 Certified Electronic Recorder

63rd District Court
1950 E. Beltline Avenue, N.E.
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49525
616.632.7820
amanda.dubay@kentcountymi.gov